

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of:

Tsuyoshi TOMITA et al.

IITA et al. Art Unit: 2836

Serial No. 09/263,766

Examiner: Kevin Michael Burd V

Filed: March 5, 1999 Attorney Dkt. No.: 108075-09006

For: SIGNAL PROCESSING CIRCUIT FOR PREVENTING PSEUDO LOCK OF TIMING RECOVERY PLL

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

Date: October 2 2002

OCT 1 7 2002

Sir:

Technology Center 2600

Applicants respectfully petitions the Commissioner to withdraw the holding of abandonment of the above-referenced application. Applicants respectfully submit that the Office action mailed October 16, 2001 was never received and the resulting abandonment of the application for failing to reply was due to this non-receipt.

On April 18, 2002, Applicants' attorneys filed an appropriate Notification of Change of Address and a copy is attached hereto. The Notice of Abandonment dated September 20, 2002 was sent by the PTO to the undersigned's new address accordingly.

Applicants respectfully petitions the time period of reply to be reset and that a copy of the Office letter be sent to the undersigned.

Applicants respectfully request that the decision on petition be faxed to **202-638-4810**, attention of the attorney of record, Charles M. Marmelstein.

10/03/2002 CNGUYEN 00000129 09263766

01 FC:122

130.00 OP

OCT 0 7 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

A check in the amount of \$130.00 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 is attached. If any further fee is due, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 01-2300.

Respectfully submitted,

ARENT FOX KINTINER PLOTKIN & KATON, PLLC

Charles M. Marmelstein Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 25,895

27931

Customer No. 004372 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036-5339

Tel: (202) 857-6000 Fax: (202) 638-4810

CMM:lw

Enclosures: Notification of Change of Name and Address dated 4/18/02

Notice of Abandonment dated 9/20/02



In re application of:

Tsuyoshi TOMITA

Serial No.: 09/263,766

Filed: March 5, 1999

SIGNAL PROCESSING CIRCUIT FOR PREVENTING

PSEUDO LOCK OF TIMING RECOVERY PLL

NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE OF NAME AND ADDRESS

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D. C. 20231

April 18, 2002

Group Art Unit: 2836

Atty. Docket No.: 108075-09006

Examiner:

Sir:

It is respectfully requested that the correspondence address for the above-identified application be changed to the following:

ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Suite 400 Washington, D. C. 20036-5339

Tel: (202) 857-6000 Fax: (202) 638-4810 RECEIVED

OCT 1 7 2002

Technology Center 2600

In the event that any fees are due with respect to this paper, please charge our Deposit Account No. 01-2300.

Respectfully submitted,

ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC **SIGNATURE ON ORIGINAL**

Charles M. Marmelstein Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 25,895

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 400

Washington, D. C. 20036-5339

Tel: (202) 857-6000 Fax: (202) 638-4810

CMM:mmg

RECEIVED

OCT 0 7 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/263,766 03/05/1999 TSUYOSHI TOMITA P8075-9006 2214 7590 09/20/2002 ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC **EXAMINER** 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL SUITE 400 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036-5339 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2631 DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OCT 1 7 2002

Technology Center 2600



OCT 0 2 2002

Notice of Abandonment

Application No. 09/263,766 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

TOMITA

2631



Kevin M. Burd -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --This application is abandoned in view of: 1.X Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on Oct 16, 2001 (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated (a) A reply was received on), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on _____. (b) A proposed reply was received on ______, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113(a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a fine proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal reply or (3 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114) OCT 1 7 2002 (c) X No response has been received. Technology Center 2600 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (with a Certificate of Mailing or (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the Transmission dated issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance. (b) The submitted issue fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d) is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the 3. 🗌 Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed new formal drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _______), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) The proposed new formal drawings filed on ______ are not acceptable and the period for reply has expired. (c) No proposed new formal drawings have been received. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

RECEIVED

The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity

under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.

The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on _

period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

OCT 07 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

CHI PHAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 7/1/62

and because the

7. The reason(s) below:

6. 🗌